



Frequently Asked Questions About the Sherwins Working Group (SWG) Proposal

How did the SWG consider all the different uses and interests in the Sherwins area?

- The SWG took time to consider existing and historic uses of the Sherwins area as well as opportunities for new uses. In some cases the SWG identified opportunities or proposed future uses that differed from past practice to address resource and social concerns.
- The SWG recognizes that there is limited quantifiable data reflecting recreational uses in the Sherwins area and focused its review and proposal development on anticipated future needs.
- The SWG process and meetings were all open to the public and a diversity of interests and groups were reflected at each meeting.
- When specific interests were not represented directly at a given meeting, care, time, and consideration were taken to attempt to address all potential interests and needs within the scope of the Sherwins area.

Why didn't the SWG address motorcycle use of the motocross track?

- Some issues were outside the scope of the SWG effort. For example, the Forest Service made it clear that use of the Mammoth Motocross Track for motocross events is governed by the Environmental Analysis and Decision Notice authorizing the current summer events. Therefore, the SWG only considered uses of the Mammoth Motocross Track other than for motocross races.

Why didn't the SWG address the cost and design details of their proposal?

- Cost, maintenance requirements, and site-specific trail design details were not addressed by the SWG. This was intentional and aimed at ensuring that the proposal was based on needs and opportunities rather than a pre-determined budget or pre-determined outcome. Cost and design will be addressed as part of the process of prioritizing the proposal for implementation.

